

**AN ADDENDUM TO “QUADRATIC FORMS OVER
POLYNOMIAL EXTENSIONS OF RINGS OF
DIMENSION ONE”**

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 24 (1983) 293–302

Raman PARIMALA and Parvin SINCLAIR

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay 400 005, India

Communicated by H. Bass
Received 8 November 1982

In Theorem 3.3 the condition that disc q is extended from R is redundant in view of the following:

Proposition. *Let R be a reduced commutative Noetherian ring of dimension one in which 2 is invertible and which has finite normalisation. Then the inclusion $R \hookrightarrow R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ induces an isomorphism $\text{Disc } R \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Disc } R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.*

To prove the proposition we need the following:

Lemma. *Let R be any commutative ring in which 2 is a non-zero divisor; then $\mu_2(R) = \mu_2(R[X])$.*

Proof. Let $f = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_rX^r \in \mu_2(R[X])$. Then the equation

$$(a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_rX^r)^2 = 1$$

gives $a_0^2 = 1$ and hence a_0 is in $\mu_2(R)$. Let, if possible, $i > 0$ be the least integer such that $a_i \neq 0$. Then $2a_0a_i = 0$ which implies that $a_i = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $f = a_0$.

Proof of the proposition. Let \bar{R} be the integral closure of R in its total quotient ring and \mathfrak{c} be the conductor of R in \bar{R} . We then have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \mu_2(\bar{R}) \oplus \mu_2(R/\mathfrak{c}) & \rightarrow & \mu_2(\bar{R}/\mathfrak{c}) & \rightarrow & \text{Disc } R & \rightarrow & \text{Disc } \bar{R} \oplus \text{Disc } R/\mathfrak{c} & \rightarrow & \text{Disc } \bar{R}/\mathfrak{c} \\
 \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow i_3 & & \downarrow i_4 & & \downarrow i_5 \\
 \mu_2(\bar{R}[X]) \oplus \mu_2(R/\mathfrak{c}[X]) & \rightarrow & \mu_2(R/\mathfrak{c}[X]) & \rightarrow & \text{Disc } R[X] & \rightarrow & \text{Disc } \bar{R}[X] \oplus \text{Disc } R/\mathfrak{c}[X] & \rightarrow & \text{Disc } \bar{R}/\mathfrak{c}[X]
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by inclusions and X denotes the tuple (X_1, \dots, X_n) . Since \bar{R} is a product of Dedekind domains and $\dim R/\mathfrak{c} = \dim \bar{R}/\mathfrak{c} = 0$, i_1, i_2, i_4 and i_5 are isomorphisms. Thus, by the five-lemma i_3 is an isomorphism.

In view of this, Corollary 3.5 should read as $W(R[X]) \cong W(R)$.